

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,065	03/30/2004	Sujit Sharan	MI22-1421	7972	
21567 7.	590 08/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			LEE, GRANVILL D		
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2891	-	
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003	5 .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/815,065	SHARAN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner			
	,		Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Granvill D. Lee Jr ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address		
Period fo					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ma	arch 2004.	×		
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 41-86 is/are pending in the application	ı .			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 41-86 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.	•		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.		
,,_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. g	113(a)-(d) of (i).		
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		oplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori				
	application from the International Bureau		Coolved in this Hattorial Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ' ''	eceived.		
					
Attachmen	• •	·	·		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date 3-30-2004	6) Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/815,065

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 43 and 49 are objected to because they are grammatically awkward: "...silicon dioxide layer is comprised by [sic: forms?] a shallow trench isolation region". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 41-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-45 of U.S. Patent No. 6,737,328. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the pending claims are broader than the '328 patent's claims. For example,

Application/Control Number: 10/815,065

Art Unit: 2891

in claim 1 of '328, cited are all instant limitations except the heating and maintaining the substrate at a temperature of at least 500 degrees C.

Claims 41-86 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-43 of U.S. Patent No. 6,759,306. These claims are also broader than the '306 patent's claims. Herein claim 1, the limitation of 'maintaining a temperature at greater than 700 to about 1000 degrees C' is narrower than claims in the application.

This change or similar changes do not provide significant patentable distinction between the application and the patent(s) cited.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications for the examiner should be directed to Granvill Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1897. The examiner can be normally reached on Monday thru Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571) 272-1722. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either

Art Unit: 2891

Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Granvill Lee Art Unit 2891

Gl 7/11/05

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED